With the constantly evolving complexity of business operations, regulations and economic environments, companies are increasingly dependent on management’s use of sound professional judgment within Management Review Controls (MRCs). This guide focuses on key elements within MRC design, execution, and related documentation.

**BDO’s Professional Judgment Framework** identifies six steps within a sound judgment process, which are critical when designing, executing, and evaluating MRCs. These steps may be applied to an MRC as follows:

1. **DEFINE THE MATTER**
   Define the matter with specific risks, focusing on the nature of potential errors and how they occur.

2. **SPECIFY OBJECTIVES**
   Specify objectives by identifying the points within the process that could give rise to the specific risk(s) and evaluate whether the control attributes of the MRC sufficiently address each of those points.

3. **IDENTIFY POSSIBILITIES**
   Identify possibilities by challenging assumptions, ensuring clearly defined actions, including triggers for investigation and prescribed plans for resolution.

4. **GATHER AND ANALYZE INFO**
   Gather and analyze information that depicts performance of each control attribute. Examine physical evidence of procedures performed, observe actions that occur, and evaluate their sufficiency to meet objectives.

5. **REACH CONCLUSION**
   Reach conclusion as to the sufficiency of the control’s ability to prevent or detect specified risks. Has each objective been met appropriately?

6. **REFLECT**
   Reflect on conclusions reached. Are each of the identified risk(s) sufficiently addressed through the controls after consideration of their design and implementation?

Creating and retaining records of the judgments made throughout each of the steps above, including consideration of evidence in favor of and contrary to the conclusion reached, assists management in supporting the design, implementation, and operating effectiveness of the MRC.
FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENTS OF MRCs

**NATURE OF REVIEW PROCEDURES**

The specific steps taken by the control owner to perform the review, assess reasonableness of items, and investigate and resolve matters.

**LEVEL OF PRECISION**

(Criteria for Investigation/Trigger)

Criteria used by the control owner(s) to drive investigation and review procedures. This may be expressed in dollars ($), percentage (%), or some combination of these and other qualitative factors. The sufficiency of these factors’ ability to detect or prevent material misstatements is critical to control design.

**EVIDENCE OF REVIEW PERFORMED**

Evidence of the review includes clear depiction of the use of criteria for investigation, and the performance of prescribed review procedures, including evidence of investigation and resolution of matters. Evidence must go beyond sign off, and provide depiction of the performance of each review activity prescribed in the control.

DEFINING PRECISION

The following are various factors to consider when describing and evaluating the precision of the MRC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACTOR</th>
<th>MORE PRECISE</th>
<th>LESS PRECISE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective of the review</td>
<td>Specifically identify detailed variances</td>
<td>Broadly explain differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of aggregation</td>
<td>Granular review, (e.g., analysis of revenue by location or product line)</td>
<td>High level review (e.g., analysis at FSA level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: the more granular the review, the lower the threshold for investigation necessary to mitigate aggregation risk.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency of performance</td>
<td>A control that is performed routinely and consistently (e.g., performed monthly)</td>
<td>A control that is performed sporadically (e.g., ad hoc analysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation to relevant assertions</td>
<td>Direct relation to an assertion or risk (e.g., AR reserve review -&gt; AR valuation assertion)</td>
<td>Indirect relation to an assertion or risk (e.g., general review of AR balances)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictability of expectations</td>
<td>Consistent trends in operation, predictable results</td>
<td>Inconsistent trends, volatility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for investigation/trigger</td>
<td>A control with a lower threshold for investigating deviations or differences from expectations relative to materiality</td>
<td>A control with a higher threshold for investigating variances or differences from expectations relative to materiality (closer to materiality)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONSIDERATIONS WHEN EVALUATING THE NATURE OF REVIEW PROCEDURES PERFORMED

Understand and document the objective and mechanics of the MRC.

- Who are the preparer(s)/reviewer(s) in the control?
- Do they have the appropriate level of competency and authority to perform this review?
- What informs their expectations?
- What information/reports is the control owner using in his or her review? How does the control owner ensure data is complete and accurate?
- How would the control detect an error?
- What actions are taken by the control owner(s) to resolve items selected for investigation?
- What evidence exists to provide assurance that investigation actions have been taken, and resolutions have been reached?

Understand and document the complexities and judgments used in the MRC.

- How do control owners apply professional skepticism?
- How do control owners assess and ensure that data used in the control is relevant and complete?
- How do control owners evaluate and challenge all key assumptions, especially those that do not follow historical trends (e.g. revenue growth is too optimistic)?
- How do control owners evaluate and consider contradictory evidence?
- How do control owners evaluate and challenge all key assumptions, especially those that do not follow historical trends (e.g. revenue growth is too optimistic)?
- How do control owners use objective evidence to support conclusions reached?
- What is the level of complexity of supporting details and analysis used in the operation of the control?

INFORMATION USED IN CONTROLS

Key reports, spreadsheets, and queries used in the performance of MRCs.

- How is data produced?
- What IT general controls (ITGCs) exist to mitigate risks of data inaccuracy?
- How does the control owner ensure data is reliable (complete and accurate) at each stage of the MRC?
- Is the data produced into a modifiable or non-modifiable format?
- What ensures that data has not been modified as it is passed from preparer to reviewer?
- When data and reports used are not easily tied to financial statement balances, what methods are used to test the completeness and accuracy of these reports?
- When there are ineffective IT controls, what changes in procedures have been made to ensure integrity of data used in the control?

MANAGEMENT REVIEW CONTROLS DEFINED

Management Review Controls (MRCs) include reviews of calculations, valuations, development of assumptions, reconciliations, analyses prepared by others (including third parties), or reviews of financial results against budgets and/or prior periods. MRCs can vary in their nature from controls with a simple review element to complex controls with significant judgment, management assumptions, and numerous control activities (we refer to these controls as multi-layered MRCs).
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